(C)

Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2012



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/12/12-14

# KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

## PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LVII വാലും 57

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY

തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

**29th May 2012** 2012 മෙත් 29

**8th Jyaishta 1934** 1934 ജ്യേഷ്ഠം 8 **No.** 

22

# PART I

# Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

### Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 550/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Kadathanad Weavers Industrial Co-operative Society Ltd., No. HL IND (D) 167, Nadakkuthazha P. O., Vadakara-673 112 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri T. Raveendran, Thalichantavida (H), Nut Street P. O., Vatakara, Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether there is denial of employment to Sri T. Raveendran, Thalichantavida House, Vatakara by the management of Kadathanad Weavers Industrial Co-operative Society Ltd. No. HL IND (D) 167, Vatakara? If yes, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 656/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Managing Director, Hope Micro Credit Finance India Private Limited, Sree Sakthi Complex, Koaymbathoor Road, Kalmandapam, Palakkad-678 001 (2) the Branch Manager, Hope Micro Credit Finance India Private Limited, Cheeramkunnel

Building, Laundry Road, Upputhara, Idukki District a n d the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Reji Mathew, Paravettikuzha, Kochukaruntharuvi P. O., Malayaputhuval, Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether there is denial of employment to Sri Reji Mathew, Field Officer by the management of Hope Micro Credit Finance India Private Limited? If yes, What relief he is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 657/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Dairy Manager, Milma, Kollam Dairy, Thevalli, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri R. Gopalakrishna Pillai, Priya Mandiram, Chemmakkad, Perinad P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### Annexure

Whether the dismissal of Sri R. Gopalakrishna Pillai (Lab technician) Milma Dairy, Kollam by the management is justifiable? If not, what are the

relief the worker is entitled to ?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 658/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, Palm Fibre First Unit, Pathirippally P. O., Alappuzha and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Coir Masdoor Sangh (BMS), Registration No. 03-6/92, BMS Office, Cherthala in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to the workers viz. Sri T. A. Mohanan, Sri Gijimon, Sri Sajimon, Sri Syamakumar, Sri Ratheesh, M. G, Sri. Sanu, C. P., Sri Subhash, K. S. and Sri Ajith, K. in Palm Fibre First Unit, Pathirappally P. O., Alappuzha by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 660/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Sudha Vijayakumar, Pulla Madhthil (Chithra), Mattam Vadak, Thattarambalam P. O., Mavelikkara and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri J. Anil Kumar, Kaleekkal, Ezhera Vadak, Chettikulangara P. O., Mavelikkara in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri J. Anilkumar, Conductor of Chithra Bus KL4T-1490 owned by Smt. Sudha Vijayakumar is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(6)

#### G.O. (Rt.) No. 661/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Sudha Vijayakumar, Pulla Madhthil (Chithra), Mattam Vadak, Thattarambalam P. O., Mavelikkara and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Bharathiya Masdoor Sangh (BMS), Mavelikkara Mekhala Samithi, Alappuzha District, BMS Office, Mavelikkara in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Rejukumar, Cleaner in Bus No. KL-31 A-8332 by Smt. Sudha Vijayakumar, owner of the bus is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(7)

#### G.O. (Rt.) No. 662/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri K. Raveendran, Preenu Motors, Hero Honda Show Room, Eminent Complex, Royal Junction, Anchal (Residence: Surya, Pattathanam, Kollam) and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri R. Vijayan Pillai, Udaya Bhavan, Agastyakkodu, Anchal in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Vijayan Pillai by the management without observing section 25 (F) of the Industrial Disputes Act is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(8)

#### G.O. (Rt.) No. 663/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Arshad Ahammad Sidhique, Managing Director, Health Care Diagnostic Centre, T. C. 41/2445 (TRA. 107), Kamaleswaram Road, Manacaud P. O., Thiruvananthapuram-695 009 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Sanifa, S., T. C. 41/2521, Mananvilalam, Thottam, Manacaud, Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Mrs. Safina, S., from the service of Health Care Diagnostic Centre, Manacaud, Thiruvananthapuram is justifiable?

If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(9)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 664/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th April 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Mathilakam Executive Officer, Mathilakam Office, Sree Padmanabha Swamy Temple, Thiruvananthapuram, Fort P. O., and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri S. Manilal, Aswathy Bhavan, Parayikkonam, Mottamood, Naruvamood P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Sri Manilal from the service of Sree Padmanabha Swamy Temple by its management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

A. Jumaila Beevi,

Under Secretary to Government.